

Dipping Its Toe in the Groundwater? Supreme Court Eyes Expedited Review for Clean Water Act Case

Dec 6, 2018

Reading Time: 1 min

By: Stacey H. Mitchell, David H. Quigley

EPA, meanwhile, has been actively considering the issue itself, leading at least one petitioner to insist that the Court refrain from hearing the case until after the agency finalizes its decision. Despite this pleading, the Court's desire to potentially rule on the issue during its current term signals that the time to cross this bridge is nearly upon us.

¹ Court Order, Docket No. 16-268 (Dec. 3, 2018).

² Brief of Update Forever, et al, at 2, *available at* https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/18/18-268/67721/20181023112439839_18-268%20Brief%20in%20Opposition.pdf.

 $\frac{3}{2}$ *Id.* at 1.

⁴ See id. at 28 (citing 83 Fed. Reg. 7,126, 7,126 (Feb. 20, 2018)).

Categories

Akin

Oil & Gas	Energy Litigation		Environmental		Lobbying & Public Policy
Unconventional Gas		North An	nerica		

© 2025 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP. All rights reserved. Attorney advertising. This document is distributed for informational use only; it does not constitute legal advice and should not be used as such. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Akin is the practicing name of Akin Gump LLP, a New York limited liability partnership authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority under number 267321. A list of the partners is available for inspection at Eighth Floor, Ten Bishops Square, London El 6EG. For more information about Akin Gump LLP, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and other associated entities under which the Akin Gump network operates worldwide, please see our Legal Notices page.

